

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KATHLEEN INFANTE, as an individual  
and on behalf of all others similarly  
situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

LUXOTTICA RETAIL,

Defendant.

No. C 17-05145 WHA

**ORDER DENYING PRO  
HAC VICE APPLICATION  
OF ATTORNEY ANDREW  
FRIEDMAN**

The *pro hac vice* application of Attorney Andrew Friedman (Dkt. No. 8) is **DENIED** for failing to comply with Local Rule 11-3. The local rule requires that an applicant certify that “he or she is an active member in good standing of the bar of a United States *Court* or of *the highest court* of another State or the District of Columbia, *specifying such bar*” (emphasis added). Filling out the *pro hac vice* form from the district court website such that it only identifies the state of bar membership — such as “the bar of the District of Columbia” — is inadequate under the local rule because it fails to identify a specific court. While the application fee does not need to be paid again, the application cannot be processed until a corrected form is submitted.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: September 15, 2017.

  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE